Research on Curriculum Teaching Goal Setting and Evaluation System Construction

Xiaohui Cui

1.Philippine Christian University, Center for International Education, Manila, Philippines

2.College of Foreign Languages and Business, Jiaozuo Normal College, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454000, China

Abstract: The purpose of this study is to explore the setting of curriculum teaching objectives and the construction of evaluation system. Through the systematic analysis of the theoretical basis of curriculum teaching objectives, the important role and significance in education and teaching are clarified. On this basis, the basic principles and common methods of course teaching objective setting are put forward, and the influence of course content, student characteristics and teaching environment on goal setting is discussed. Then, the evaluation indexes suitable for different subjects and teaching stages are designed, and the application of quantitative and qualitative evaluation methods is discussed. Finally, the feasibility and effectiveness of the constructed evaluation system are verified through the empirical study based on the case, and concrete improvement suggestions are provided for the course teaching.

Keywords: Curriculum teaching objectives; Curriculum evaluation; Build a system

1. Introduction

As educational reform continues to deepen, [1] The setting of curriculum teaching objectives and the construction of evaluation systems have become focal points in the field of education. This study aims to explore the scientific establishment of curriculum teaching objectives and evaluation methods, as well as the construction of a comprehensive and effective evaluation system to meet the needs of education and teaching.By introducing the relevant background, clarifying the research objectives and intentions, and outlining the structure of the paper, it will help to deepen understanding of the research content and significance in this field.

2. Theoretical Foundation of Curriculum Teaching Objectives

The exploration of curriculum teaching objectives as the core of education and teaching holds significant importance in educational practice and theoretical research. Firstly, curriculum teaching objectives refer to the teaching goals and expected learning outcomes determined by teachers based on specific teaching contexts, subject content, student characteristics, and teaching environment. Their characteristics include clarity, operability, quantifiability, and adaptability, ensuring the effective implementation and evaluation of teaching objectives. Curriculum teaching objectives play a crucial role and hold significance in education and teaching. [2] They serve as guides and bases for teaching activities, providing direction for teachers to clarify teaching content and methods. Additionally, curriculum teaching objectives act as sources of motivation for student learning, stimulating their interest and motivation, and promoting active participation and deep learning. Moreover, curriculum teaching objectives are important criteria for evaluating educational quality. Through the assessment of student learning outcomes, problems and deficiencies can be identified timely, providing a basis for teaching improvement. In summary, the theoretical foundation of curriculum teaching objectives involves concept analysis, characteristics analysis, elucidation of roles and significance, as well as summarizing frontier research findings and theoretical viewpoints. It holds important value for a deeper understanding of the essence of education and teaching and improving teaching quality.

3. Principles and Methods of Setting Curriculum Teaching Objectives

The setting of curriculum teaching objectives is a crucial step in instructional design and must adhere to certain basic principles.

Firstly, the principle of clarity requires objectives to be clearly and precisely stated, avoiding vague and ambiguous descriptions to ensure consistent understanding among teachers and students. Secondly, the principle of operability emphasizes that objectives should be actionable, meaning students can understand and achieve the behaviors and performances required by the objectives. Thirdly, the principle of measurability demands that objectives can be specifically and objectively evaluated and measured for subsequent teaching evaluation and feedback. Additionally, the principle of adaptability requires objectives to accommodate the learning characteristics and needs of different students, possessing a certain degree of flexibility and personalization.[3]

There are various methods and techniques for setting curriculum teaching objectives, including:

Behaviorism-based methods: These methods emphasize setting objectives based on specific behaviors and performances that students can demonstrate, such as competency goals and behavioral objectives.

Cognition-based methods: These methods focus on students' understanding and mastery of knowledge, concepts, and principles, such as cognitive goals and understanding objectives, based on cognitive theory.

Constructivism-based methods: These methods prioritize fostering students' autonomous learning and problem-solving abilities, such as inquiry-based learning goals and innovative learning objectives, based on constructivist theory.

4. Construction of Curriculum Teaching Objective Evaluation System

The construction of a curriculum teaching objective evaluation system is of vital necessity and significance. Firstly, it facilitates a comprehensive and objective assessment of the achievement of teaching objectives, enabling the timely identification and resolution of issues and deficiencies in the teaching process, thus providing effective assurance for teaching quality. Secondly, the evaluation system helps teachers and schools to quantify and visualize teaching objectives, making them more specific and clear, which facilitates the management and adjustment of the teaching process. Furthermore, through the establishment of an evaluation system, teaching reforms and innovations can be promoted, continuously enhancing teaching effectiveness and student learning outcomes.

The design of evaluation indicators applicable to different subjects and teaching stages is crucial. Firstly, specific evaluation indicators need to be designed based on the characteristics of different subjects and the requirements of teaching objectives, covering aspects such as knowledge mastery, skill development, and quality improvement, to ensure the comprehensiveness and diversity of the evaluation. Secondly, considering the developmental levels and cognitive characteristics of students at different teaching stages, evaluation indicators should have appropriate levels and difficulty to ensure the scientific and operational nature of the evaluation. Additionally, the design of evaluation indicators should fully consider the personalized needs and learning situations of students, ensuring the fairness and objectivity of the evaluation.

In the evaluation system, the application of quantitative and qualitative evaluation methods is both significant. Quantitative evaluation methods enable an objective and intuitive measurement of the achievement of teaching objectives through data and numerical analysis, such as exam scores and completion of assignments, providing specific quantitative indicators for monitoring and analyzing teaching effectiveness. On the other hand, qualitative evaluation methods can provide in-depth insights into students' learning processes and ways of thinking, such as observation records, student self-assessment, and teacher comments, offering more detailed feedback and suggestions for teaching, promoting personalized and differentiated development of teaching.

In summary, the construction of a curriculum teaching objective evaluation system not only contributes to the improvement of teaching quality and effectiveness but also promotes teaching reforms and innovations, with significant practical significance and application value. [4] By designing evaluation indicators applicable to different subjects and teaching stages and comprehensively applying quantitative and qualitative evaluation methods, a scientifically reasonable, comprehensive, and effective evaluation system can be constructed to provide strong support and assurance for teaching.

5. Empirical Research Based on Case Studies

In conducting empirical research based on case studies, the selection of appropriate course cases is crucial. Taking a beginner-level Chinese course as an example, the course aims to help students master basic Chinese vocabulary, grammar, and communication skills. Firstly, we applied the constructed evaluation system to assess the teaching objectives of this course. Through quantitative and qualitative methods, we analyzed students' language proficiency, vocabulary mastery, and development of communication skills. The evaluation results showed that students' language proficiency improved significantly, especially in listening and speaking abilities. However, there were still deficiencies in vocabulary mastery, and some students needed further enhancement in written expression. Additionally, students' communication skills were effectively exercised in classroom group discussions and role-playing activities, but some individuals still lacked in expressing opinions and logical thinking.

Based on the evaluation results, we proposed the following suggestions for improvement: First, increase opportunities for

vocabulary learning, such as through vocabulary recitation and contextual exercises, to enhance students' vocabulary. Second, strengthen written expression training, including writing assignments and written assessments, to improve students' written expression abilities.[5] Third, encourage students to participate in more oral practice and communication activities, such as role-playing and debates, to enhance their oral expression and logical thinking skills. Through the assessment and improvement suggestions for this course, we can provide guidance for future teaching practices, continually improve teaching methods and strategies, and enhance student learning outcomes and satisfaction.

6. Conclusion

This study systematically explores the importance and feasibility of curriculum teaching objective setting and evaluation system, providing theoretical support and practical guidance for improving the quality of education and teaching. Through the analysis of theoretical foundations and empirical cases, we have established an evaluation system applicable to different subjects and teaching stages, and verified its effectiveness in teaching practice. In the future, efforts should be made to further refine evaluation indicators and methods, strengthen teacher training and teaching management, promote the scientific setting and evaluation of curriculum teaching objectives, and provide more effective support for students' comprehensive development.

References:

[1] Zhu Luqin. Research on Improving the Teaching Quality of Online Courses in Higher Vocational Colleges under the Background of Blended Teaching -- A case study of Hotel Human Resource Management courses. Modern Vocational Education, 2024, (08): 118-121.

[2] Yao Yuchen, Cheng Juan. Research on Teaching Quality Evaluation of Applied undergraduate Courses based on Modern Information Technology Platform [J]. Communication and Copyright, 2024, (04): 101-103.

[3] LU Xiaolin, Li Ting, ZHAO Pinhui et al. A study on the influence of college students' Personal Characteristics on online course teaching Satisfaction [J]. University, 2024, (02): 191-196.

[4] Cheng Wenguang. The internal logic, realistic Review and Practice Path of Digital Empowered Physical Education Curriculum Teaching Reform [C]// Chinese Sports Science Society. The 13th National Sports Science Conference Abstracts -- Special Report (School Sports Branch). Shenyang Institute of Physical Education; , 2023:3.

[5] Ge Jinhui, Zhang Shuo. A practical research on the deep integration of curriculum Ideology and Politics with Curriculum Teaching based on PBL -- A case study of Operational Research curriculum teaching [J]. Journal of Tonghua Normal University, 2023, 44 (10): 135-139.

About the author:

Xiaohui Cui , female, 1981.09, Han nationality, native place: Mengzhou, Henan, China, Master of English Language and Literature, Associate professor of Jiaozuo Normal College, doctoral candidate in Philippine Christian University. Major: Education. Research Direction: Curriculum and Instruction