

Mixed Variables Diophantine Inequality with Prime Variables

Qianqian Zhang

Hubei University Zhixing College, Wuhan, China 430000

Abstract: Let $1 < k < 8/3$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and λ_4 be non-zero real numbers, not all of the same sign, that λ_1/λ_2 is irrational and let η be a real number. The inequality $|\lambda_1 p_1 + \lambda_2 p_2^2 + \lambda_3 p_3^2 + \lambda_4 p_4^k + \eta| \leq (\max p_j)^{-\sigma+\varepsilon}$ has infinitely many solutions in prime variables p_1, p_2, p_3 and p_4 for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Keywords: Diophantine inequalities; Hardy-Littlewood method; Davenport-Heilbronn method

1. Proof method

Where k and η is any given real number, ε and δ are given positive numbers which are small enough. The letter P represents a prime number, let q be a denominator of a convergent to λ_1/λ_2 .

Set $e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$, $X = q^2$, $j \geq 1$,

$$S_j(\alpha) = \sum_{\delta X \leq p^j \leq X} (\log p) e(p^j \alpha) \quad U_j(\alpha) = \sum_{\delta X \leq n^j \leq X} e(n^j \alpha) \quad T_j(\alpha) = \int_{(\delta X)^{1/j}}^{X^{1/j}} e(\alpha t^j) dt$$

By prime number theorem and Trigonometric integral, we have

$$S_j(\alpha) \ll X^{1/j}, \quad T_j(\alpha) \ll X^{1/j} \min(X, |\alpha|^{-1}). \quad (1)$$

$$\text{if } \alpha \neq 0, K_\tau(\alpha) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi \tau \alpha}{\alpha}\right)^2; \text{ if } \alpha = 0, K_\tau(\alpha) = \tau^2. \quad \text{So } K_\tau(\alpha) \ll \min(\tau^2, |\alpha|^{-2}) \quad (2)$$

$$K_\tau(\alpha) \ll \min(\tau^2, |\alpha|^{-2}), \quad (3)$$

$$\int e(xy) K_\tau(x) dx = \max(0, \tau - |y|), \tau > 0. \quad (4)$$

$$U_k(\alpha) - T_k(\alpha) \ll 1 + |\alpha|X. \quad (5)$$

$$J_r(X, h) = \int_X^{2X} \left[\left(\theta \left((x+h)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) - \theta \left(x^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) - \left((x+h)^{\frac{1}{k}} - x^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \right)^2 dx \right]$$

Which $\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$ is Chebyshev function.

Lemma 1[1]: let $k \geq 1$, $\int_{-Y}^Y |S_r(\alpha) - U_r(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll_r \frac{X^{r-2} \log^2 X}{Y} + Y^2 X + Y^2 J_r \left(X, \frac{1}{2Y} \right)$.

Lemma 2[1]: let $k \geq 1$, $J_r(X, h) \ll_r h^2 X^{r-1} \exp \left(-c_1 \left(\frac{\log X}{\log \log X} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right)$, $X^{1-\frac{5}{6r+\varepsilon}} \ll h \ll X$.

Inference 1: let $r \geq 1$ and any $A \geq 6$,

$$\int_{|\alpha| \leq X^{-1+\frac{5}{6r-\varepsilon}}} |S_r(\lambda_j \alpha) - U_r(\lambda_j \alpha)|^2 d\alpha < X^{\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)-1} (\log X)^{-A}$$

Lemma 3[2]: for $j = 1, 2$, $\int_m |S_j(\lambda_j \alpha)|^{2j} |K_\tau(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll \tau X (\log X)^j$,

$$\int_m |K_\tau(\alpha)| |S_k(\lambda_4)|^4 d\alpha \ll \tau X^{1/k} X^{1/k} (\log X)^3.$$

Lemma 4 [3]: $\int_{|\alpha| \leq X^{-2/3}} |S_2(\lambda_j \alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll 1$.

Let: $R = \{(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) | \delta X \leq p_1 \leq X, \delta X \leq p_2^2, p_3^2 \leq X, \delta X \leq p_4^k \leq X\}$, define:

$$G(\alpha) = S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) S_3(\lambda_3 \alpha) S_k(\lambda_4 \alpha) K_\tau(\alpha) e(i\alpha \eta), \quad H(\alpha) = T_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) T_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) T_3(\lambda_3 \alpha) T_k(\lambda_4 \alpha) K_\tau(\alpha) e(i\alpha \eta)$$

As for any measurable subset Ω of Lebesgue of real number set \mathbb{R} , $I(\tau, \eta, \Omega) = \int_\Omega G(\alpha) d\alpha$,

$(\tau, \eta, R) \leq \tau (\log X)^4 \mathfrak{S}(X)$. Where $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ represents the number of solutions in the inequality

$$|\lambda_1 p_1^1 + \lambda_2 p_2^2 + \lambda_3 p_3^2 + \lambda_4 p_4^k + \eta| < \tau. \quad (6)$$

Let $R = M \cup m \cup T$ where M is the major arc, m is minor arc and T is the trivial arc. The decomposition is the following:

$$M = \{\alpha | |\alpha| \leq P/X\}, \quad m = \{\alpha | P/X < |\alpha| < Q\}, \quad T = \{\alpha | |\alpha| \geq Q\}$$

So that $I(\tau, \eta, R) = I(\tau, \eta, M) + I(\tau, \eta, m) + I(\tau, \eta, T)$.

2. The major mac

$$\begin{aligned} I(\tau, \eta, M) &= \int_M (T_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) T_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) T_2(\lambda_3 \alpha) T_k(\lambda_4 \alpha) K_\tau(\alpha) e(i\alpha \eta)) d\alpha \\ &+ \int_M (S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) - T_1(\lambda_1 \alpha)) T_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) T_2(\lambda_3 \alpha) T_k(\lambda_4 \alpha) K_\tau(\alpha) e(i\alpha \eta) d\alpha \\ &+ \int_M S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) (S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) - T_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)) T_2(\lambda_3 \alpha) T_k(\lambda_4 \alpha) K_\tau(\alpha) e(i\alpha \eta) d\alpha \\ &+ \int_M S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) (S_2(\lambda_3 \alpha) - T_2(\lambda_3 \alpha)) T_k(\lambda_4 \alpha) K_\tau(\alpha) e(i\alpha \eta) d\alpha \\ &+ \int_M S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) S_2(\lambda_3 \alpha) (S_k(\lambda_4 \alpha) - T_k(\lambda_4 \alpha)) K_\tau(\alpha) e(i\alpha \eta) d\alpha \\ &=: C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4 + C_5 \end{aligned}$$

2.1 Lower bound for C_1 .

Using inequalities (2) and (4)

$$C_1 = \int_M H(\alpha) d\alpha + O\left(\int_{P/X}^{+\infty} |H(\alpha)| d\alpha\right)$$

$$\int_{P/X}^{+\infty} |H(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll \tau^2 X^{\frac{1}{k}-1} \int_{P/X}^{+\infty} \alpha^{-4} d\alpha \ll \tau^2 P^{-3} X^{\frac{1}{k}+1} = \tau^2 X^{\frac{1}{k}+1} P^{-3} = o\left(\tau^2 X^{\frac{1}{k}+1}\right)$$

let $D = [\delta X, X] \times [(\delta X)^{1/2}, X^{1/2}]^2 \times [(\delta X)^{1/k}, X^{1/k}]$, we have $\int_R H(\alpha) d\alpha \gg \tau^2 X^{\frac{1}{k}+1}$, so $C_1 \gg \tau^2 X^{1+(1/k)}$.

In fact, since the computations for C_2, C_3, C_4 and C_5 similar to, but simpler than, so you will get the corresponding ones for C_3 .

2.2 Bound for C_3

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 &\ll \tau^2 \int_M S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) (S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) - T_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)) T_2(\lambda_3 \alpha) T_k(\lambda_4 \alpha) d\alpha \\ &\ll \tau^2 \int_M S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) |S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) - T_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)| T_2(\lambda_3 \alpha) T_k(\lambda_4 \alpha) d\alpha \\ &+ \tau^2 \int_M S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) |U_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) - T_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)| T_2(\lambda_3 \alpha) T_k(\lambda_4 \alpha) d\alpha =: \tau^2 (C_{31} + C_{32}). \end{aligned}$$

$$C_{31} \ll X^{1/2} X^{1/k} \left(\int_M |S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) - T_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_M |S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\ll X^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{k}} ((\log X)^{-A})^{\frac{1}{2}} (X \log X)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll X^{1 + \frac{1}{k}} (\log X)^{\frac{-A}{2}} \ll X^{1 + \frac{1}{k}}$$

$$C_{32} \ll \int_0^{1/X} |S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) T_2(\lambda_3 \alpha) T_k(\lambda_4 \alpha)| d\alpha + \int_{1/X}^{P/X} \alpha X |S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) T_2(\lambda_3 \alpha) T_k(\lambda_4 \alpha)| d\alpha$$

$$\ll (X \log X \cdot X \log^2 X)^{1/2} + P (X \log X \cdot X \log^2 X)^{1/2} = (1 + P) (X \log X \cdot X \log^2 X)^{1/2}$$

Since we need $C_{32} = o(X^{1+1/k})$, so that $P = o(X^{1/k})$. Calculate according to this method, we need

$C_2 = C_4 = C_5 = o\left(X^{1+\frac{1}{k}}\right)$, so that $P = o\left(X^{\frac{2}{5}}, X^{\frac{5}{6k}}\right)$.

Collecting all the bounds of P, if $1 \leq k \leq 25/12$, $P = X^{2/5}$, else $P = 5/6k$

3. The trivial arc

By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality and $S_k(\lambda_4 \alpha) \ll X^{1/k}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\tau, \eta, t) &\ll X^{\frac{1}{k}} \int_R^{+\infty} |S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha) S_2(\lambda_3 \alpha)| K_\tau(\alpha) d\alpha \\ &\ll X^{\frac{1}{k}} \left(\int_R^{+\infty} |S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha)|^2 K_\tau(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_R^{+\infty} |S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)|^4 K_\tau(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/4} \\ &\times \left(\int_R^{+\infty} |S_2(\lambda_3 \alpha)|^4 K_\tau(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/4} \ll X^{\frac{1}{k}} \left(\frac{X \log X}{Q} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{X \log X}{Q} \right)^{1/2} \ll \frac{X^{1+(1/k)+\varepsilon}}{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Remembering that $I(\tau, \eta, t) = o(\tau^2 X^{1+(1/k)+\varepsilon})$, i.e. of the main term, the choice $Q = \log^2 X / \tau^2$ is admissible.

4. The minor arc

Let us split m into two subsets m^* and $\tilde{m} = m \setminus m^*$, $\tilde{m} = m_1 \cup m_2$, where

$$m_1 = \{\alpha \in m: |S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha)| < X^{1-1/8+\varepsilon}\}$$

$$m_2 = \{\alpha \in m: |S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)| < X^{1/2-1/16+\varepsilon}\}.$$

Using the Holder inequality, lemma3 and Theorem3.1 in [4] we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} |I(\tau, \eta, m_1)| &\ll \max S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{m_1} |S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha)|^2 K_\tau(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{m_1} |S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)|^4 K_\tau(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/4} \\ &\times \left(\int_{m_1} |S_2(\lambda_3 \alpha)|^4 K_\tau(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/4} \left(\int_{m_1} |S_k(\lambda_4 \alpha)|^4 K_\tau(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/4} \\ &\ll X^{\frac{7}{16}} (\tau X \log^2 X)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\tau X^{\frac{1}{8}} X^{\frac{2}{k}} \log^3 X \right)^{1/4} \ll \tau X^{\frac{19}{16} + \frac{1}{2k} + \varepsilon} \log X = o(\tau^2 X^{1+\frac{1}{k}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \tau = X^{-(8-3k)/16k+\varepsilon}.$$

Let $|S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha)| > X^{1-(1/8)+\varepsilon}$, $|S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)| > X^{(1/2)-(1/16)+\varepsilon}$, $P/X < |\alpha| \leq \tau^{-2} \log^2 X$. Following the method in [5] we divide m^* into disjoint set $E(Z_1, Z_2, y)$ in which, for $\alpha \in E(Z_1, Z_2, y)$, we have $Z_1 < |S_2(\lambda_1 \alpha)| \leq 2Z_1$, $Z_2 < |S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)| \leq 2Z_2$, $y < |\alpha| \leq 2y$. Where

$$Z_1 = 2^{k_1} X^{1-(1/8)+\varepsilon}, Z_2 = 2^{k_2} X^{(1/2)-(1/16)+\varepsilon}, y_1 = 2^{k_3} X^{-3/5+\varepsilon}, y_2 = 2^{k_4} X^{(5/6k)-1+\varepsilon}. k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 \text{ are non-negative integer.}$$

we have the following result about $\mu(A)$ following the same lines of lemma 4 in [2].

$$\text{Lemma 5: } \mu(A) \ll y X^{2+6\varepsilon} Z_1^{-2} Z_2^{-4}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} I(\tau, \eta, A) &\ll \int_{m^*} |S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha)| |S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)| |S_2(\lambda_3 \alpha)| |S_k(\lambda_4 \alpha)| |K_\tau(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\ll \left(\int_{m^*} |S_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) S_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)|^4 K_\tau(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/4} \left(\int_{m^*} |S_2(\lambda_3 \alpha)|^4 K_\tau(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/4} \\ &\times \left(\int_{m^*} |S_k(\lambda_4 \alpha)|^2 K_\tau(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \ll (\min(\tau^2, y^{-2}))^{\frac{1}{4}} \left((Z_1 Z_2)^4 \mu(A) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\tau X \log^2 X)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\tau X^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll (\min(\tau^2, y^{-2}))^{\frac{1}{4}} y^{\frac{1}{4}} Z_1^{\frac{1}{2}} X^{\frac{5}{8} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{2}} \tau^{\frac{3}{4}} X^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2k}} \end{aligned}$$

If $y_1 = 2^{k_3} X^{\frac{3}{5}+\varepsilon}$, $I(\tau, \eta, A) \ll \tau X^{\frac{87}{80} + \frac{1}{2k}}$; $y_2 = 2^{k_4} X^{(5/6k)-1+\varepsilon}$, $I(\tau, \eta, A) \ll \tau X^{\frac{19}{16} + \frac{5}{24k}}$. So $\tau = X^{-\frac{(8-3k)}{16k} + \varepsilon}$ is optimal choice.

References:

- [1] Alessandro Gambini. Diophantine approximation with one prime, two squares of primes and one k-th power of a prime. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.00343>.
- [2] Quanwu Mu, Xiaodong Lv. Diophantine Approximation With Prime Variable and Mixed powers(II)[J]. Advances in Mathematic (China), 2017, 46(2): 190-202.
- [3] 李伟平, 王天泽等. 素变量混合幂丢番图逼近[J]. 数学学报中文版, 2019, 62(1): 50-58.

About the author: Qianqian Zhang (1994.02-), female, han, Master Degree Candidate, lecturer, Analytic number theory.